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Shrinkage: An urban concept

The term “shrinking” first applied to geographical spaces in the form of “shrinking cities” in response to cases of severe urban population decline (Grasland et al. 2008, p.22-23).
Rural Population Decline + Rural Restructuring = Rural Shrinkage?

Grasland et al. “mainstreamed” the term and established a clear definition of specific simplicity: “a region that is ‘shrinking’ is a region that is losing a significant proportion of its population over a period greater than or equal to one generation” (Grasland et al. 2008, p.25).

Sepp and Veema (2017 p.6) extend this definition stating that “Regional shrinkage is a simultaneously demographic and economic process – demography and economy in combination are potential drivers of shrinking”.

In effect, the latter definition takes parts of the concept of rural restructuring and foregrounds them with reference to weak, lagging or declining rural regions.
ESCAPE seeks to identify how shrinking rural areas could be better served by a range of policies (Rural Development and Cohesion/regional Policy in particular) across range of governance levels, from EU, through national, regional and local.

In order to achieve this the project seeks to improve understanding of the many facets and manifestations of population decline, and the complex ways in which these are tied into wider socio-economic processes (as both causes and effects), especially where they seem to drive cumulative processes of decline.
Population decline occurs in most EU Member States, and is geographically prevalent throughout southern and eastern parts of the EU.

Differences, e.g. Between 2001 and 2011, in Lithuania, Estonia, Bulgaria, Latvia and Hungary, more than 80% of rural regions shrunk. This trend was reversed in Czechia - less than 30% of rural regions depopulated over this period.
MAUP (or how to make a problem disappear)

1991 – 2016: Number of administrative units recording population loss

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regions</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counties</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA / UD</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDs</td>
<td>836</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

643 Rural EDs recorded a decline in population of 27,566 persons between 1991 and 2016
Dimensions of Population Change

[Bar chart showing population change by age group for 1991 and 2016.]
Demographic Changes

We need to understand how the population in the early 1990s were shaped, i.e. the 60’s.
Shrinkage in Ireland

More people at work +13,318

- Agri and manufacturing decline -16,194/-2,184
- Growth in all other sectors. +31,696

Male
Female

Agriculture  Building  Manufacturing  Commerce  Transport  PublicAdmin  ProfServ  Other
Conclusions

- It brings a focus to areas that are experiencing the negative outcomes of change over the longer term.
- Firstly, it easily conveys the reality and implications of change for some rural areas.
- It opens up a space to consider (radical) policy alternatives. Rather than pursuing a mitigation, i.e. growth, strategy, some places are exploring the potential of adaptation strategies, i.e. focusing on the populations remaining or moving to their areas and trying to ensure that their needs and hence quality of life is as good as it can be.
Conclusions

The importance of the local governance level, as the scale where the integration of development strategies offers the best potential to tackle the problems associated with shrinkage, is well reflected in the literature (Martinez- Fernández et al. 2012).

However, shrinkage takes specific forms in different territorial contexts and each local situation is unique, socially contested and constantly changing due to many factors at multiple scales (Tietjen and Jørgensen 2016).

Municipalities that have the greatest need to develop strategies to cope with shrinkage are often those with the least capacity to act which requires a comprehensive policy response, a long-term perspective and consistent, simultaneous commitment at multiple governance scales.